

CONTENTS

Special Feature 《Inventive Step》

■ Discussion

Comparison Between Inventive Step in Japan and Non-Obviousness in U.S. ——— Sean M. McGinn, Sam S.Sahota, HIRATA Tadao 1

■ Discussion

Criteria of Inventive Step Judgment of Patent and Utility Model in China ——— Zhiqiang JING 15

■ Discussion

Judgment of Inventive Step in Recent Years ——— 2012 Patent Committee Group 2 21

■ Commentary

Worldwide Protection of Invention ——— ASAI Toshio 71

■ Review

Review of interpretation of “damage suffered by patentee or exclusive licensee” in Article 102 (2) of Japanese Patent Law ——— MAKIYAMA Kouichi 83

■ Discussion

Description Requirement of Inventions restricted by Physical Property Value ——— KOBAYASHI Shigeru 96

■ Review

A study of the meaning of “discovering an unknown attribute of a product” for a Use Invention ——— NAKAMURA Gihei 102

■ Commentary

German Court Decisions in Patent Infringement and Similar Cases in 2012 ——— Marc Dernauer, Clemens Tobias Steins 111

■ Commentary

Software Patent-related Decisions worth knowing
—IP High Court Appeal/Trial Decision Annulment Case (Voice Cursor Case) ——— MASUBUCHI Takashi 125

《Tokyo Bar Association Intellectual Property Rights Legal Division Serialization Plan》

■ Discussion

Several Issues regarding Patent Infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalence ——— IIMURA Toshiaki 128